

Is C-Reactive Protein (CRP) A Reliable Marker for Postoperative Complications in Gastrointestinal and Colorectal Surgery?

Sneineh MA*

Department of General Surgery, Bariatric Unit, Rabin Medical Center, Petah Tikva, Israel

*Corresponding author:

Midhat Abu Sneineh,
Department of General Surgery, Bariatric Unit,
Rabin Medical Center, Petah Tikva, Israel,
Tel: +972-525475863,
E-mail: Midhat_1987@hotmail.com

Received: 13 Feb 2021

Accepted: 01 Mar 2021

Published: 05 Mar 2021

Copyright:

©2021 Sneineh MA, This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and build upon your work non-commercially.

Key Messages:

We need more prospective studies in this field to decide the main purpose and role of CRP in postoperative period.

Citation:

Sneineh MA. Is C-Reactive Protein (CRP) A Reliable Marker for Postoperative Complications in Gastrointestinal and Colorectal Surgery?. Japanese J Gastro Hepato. 2021; V6(2): 1-3

1. Abstract

1.1. Background: There are many markers that have been studied in the prediction of the immune and inflammatory response postoperatively; among them the most common one is CRP.

2. Summary

CRP seems to be a good marker of the inflammatory response after colorectal, gastric, and bariatric operations, and in combination with the clinical picture of the patient can predict postoperative complications, but it is nonspecific and there is a need to wait minimally 48 hours until its peak level reached.

3. Introduction

It very essential to be able to predict the complications that can arise after surgical, this is crucial to accelerate the recovery of patients, intervene in the appropriate time, and decrease pain. Different markers of surgical stress have been studied; one of them is C-reactive protein (CRP) measurement during the postoperative period in different gastrointestinal and colorectal procedures [1-3].

It is well known now that the laparoscopic approach has a less stress effect on the human body after surgery than the open approach for the same kind of procedure [4]. Multiple studies examined CRP to check the stress response of the patients after the surgical operations and then study the degree of an inflammatory response postoperatively [5, 6]. The higher its value, the higher the probability of Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (SIRS). Evaluation of the level of CRP done before surgery and in the postoperative days. If the value of it increased during the postoperative days this will indicate greater inflammatory response and maybe early complications

[7, 8].

There are two main approaches for gastrointestinal and colorectal surgery, which are minimally invasive approach which includes robotic or laparoscopic and open surgery approach. Although multiple studies showed that the laparoscopic approach is more beneficial for the patients and their recovery in comparison with the open approach [9, 10], some studies compared the two approaches through observing the postoperative immune response which showed discrepancies in the results [11-14].

There are many markers that have been studied in the prediction of the immune and inflammatory response postoperatively; among them the most common one is CRP. Most of these studies found that CRP levels postoperatively are lower in the laparoscopic approach if we compare it to open surgery [15-17]. The aim of this mini-review is to verify the reliability of CRP or other markers in the prediction of postoperative complications.

4. CRP and Colorectal Cancer Surgery

McDermott et al in their review agreed that CRP concentrations exceeding 150mg/L on a postoperative day 3 should alert surgeons to possible postoperative complications, including anastomotic leak [18]. With the current postoperative regimes, anastomotic leaks are usually diagnosed by CT scan. The median day of diagnosis varies between postoperative days 8 and 13 [19-21]. A recent review shows that more than 50% of colorectal anastomotic leaks were at the highest severity when diagnosed, which requires relaparotomy [22]. These facts would indicate that CRP is a good marker of the inflammatory response and early prediction of complications. So, CRP is of great

value in the prediction of complications postoperatively in colorectal operations, of course, it should be correlated with the clinical picture of the patient.

5. CRP and Bariatric Surgery

Anastomotic leak after bariatric procedures especially sleeve gastrectomy can be a devastating complication, however, if diagnosed early can improve the management and prognosis.

CRP level is one of the markers used for this purpose in multiple studies. Albanopoulos et al. observed, based on an analysis of 177 patients undergoing sleeve gastrectomy, that a highly increased CRP level on the 1st and 3rd postoperative day may indicate early septic complications [23]. They determined the CRP cut-off as 150 mg/l on the 1st day with 83.2% sensitivity and 100% specificity; furthermore, on the 3rd postoperative day, the cut-off was 200 mg/l with 100% sensitivity and specificity. Similar conclusions were drawn by Warschkow et al. [24] and Williams's et al. [25] analyzing patients after laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery. Warschkow et al. determined the CRP cut-off as 229 mg/l on the 2nd postoperative day with 53% sensitivity and 100% specificity, while Williams reported 127 mg/l with 93% sensitivity and 64% specificity, suggesting that further radiological investigation should be done in patients who reach this CRP level. According to researchers CRP on the 2nd postoperative day is a good predictor of complications after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass.

The CRP peak is not reached until minimally 48 hours postoperatively, so if the patient will be discharged on the first postoperative day the peak may not be detected.

6. CRP and Gastrectomy for Malignancy

It has been suggested by some studies that measuring the magnitude of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response may be useful in determining when to discharge the patient after gastrectomy [26]. CRP was investigated in multiple studies as a measurement of SIRS and prediction of postoperative complications following gastrectomy [27, 28].

Shishido et al reported that CRP on the 3rd postoperative day predicted infectious complications following gastric cancer resection [29]. On the other hand, a meta-analysis found that CRP could not predict these complications after gastroesophageal cancer surgery [27]. It is unclear whether the prediction of postoperative complications using CRP values is applicable for all patients.

7. Other Predictors

Many studies examined other markers to identify the stress response and the complications postoperatively [30]. IL-6, Cortisol and White Blood Cells (WBC) count are the main inflammatory markers that have been studied [31]. IL-6 was similar to the results of CRP but with earlier peak response until maximum of 24 hours [32]. Cortisol has been examined too and it can get to peak in a maximum time of 4 hours which is noticeably short. However, cortisol concentra-

tions have not been associated with the magnitude of surgical stress and that is why it is not suitable for the prediction of postoperative complications [33]. The same applies to the WBC count because the detection of its peak is before CRP, at 24 hours. However, there is a variable range of results that will not allow for assessment and prediction of the magnitude of the surgical stress and, postoperative complications [34]. Other studies examined more markers such as serum cytokines, alpha-defensins, and TNF- in a trial to find more specific markers [35]. However, their measurements are more complicated than CRP, and the results obtained do not provide much additional information. In summary, we can conclude from the previous review that CRP is reasonably simple to measure; it is a routine check before and after surgical procedures in many centers, and, its level increase in accordance with the degree of surgical stress.

8. Conclusion

CRP seems to be a good marker of the inflammatory response after colorectal, gastric, and bariatric operations, and in combination with the clinical picture of the patient can predict postoperative complications, but it is nonspecific and there is a need to wait minimally 48 hours until its peak level reached.

References

- Huang C, Huang R, Jiang T, Huang K, Cao J. Laparoscopic and open resection for colorectal cancer: an evaluation of cellular immunity. *BMC Gastroenterol.* 2010; 10: 127.
- Veenhof AA, Sietses C, Von Blomberg BM, van Hoogstraten IM, Vd Pas MH. The surgical stress response and postoperative immune function after laparoscopic or conventional total mesorectal excision in rectal cancer: a randomized trial. *Int J Colorectal Dis.* 2011; 26: 53-9.
- Decker D, Schondorf M, Bidlingmaier F, Hirner A, Von Ruecker AA. Surgical stress induces a shift in the type-1/type-2 T-helper cell balance, suggesting downregulation of cell-mediated and up-regulation of antibody-mediated immunity commensurate to the trauma. *Surgery.* 1996; 119: 316-25.
- Straatman J, Cuesta MA, Tuynman JB, Veenhof AAFA, Bemelman WA. C-reactive protein in predicting major postoperative complications are there differences in open and minimally invasive colorectal surgery? Substudy from a randomized clinical trial. *Surg Endosc.* 2018; 32: 2877-85.
- Dutta S, Fullarton GM, Forshaw MJ, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. Persistent elevation of C-reactive protein following esophagogastric cancer resection as a predictor of postoperative surgical site infectious complications. *World J Surg.* 2011; 35: 1017-1025.
- Cabellos Olivares M, Labalde Martinez M, Torralba M, Rodriguez Fraile JR, Atance Martinez JC. C-reactive protein as a marker of the surgical stress reduction within an ERAS protocol (Enhanced Recovery after Surgery) in colorectal surgery: A prospective cohort study. *J Surg Oncol.* 2018; 117: 717-24.
- Luo K, Li JS, Li LT, Wang KH, Shun JM. Operative stress response and energy metabolism after laparoscopic cholecystectomy compared to open surgery. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2003; 9: 847-50.

8. Delgado S, Lacy AM, Filella X, Castells A, Garcí'a Valdecasas JC et al. Acute phase response in laparoscopic and open colectomy in colon cancer: randomized study. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2001; 44: 638-46.
9. Nelson H, Sargent DJ, Wieand HS, Fleshman J, Anvari M, Stryker SJ B et al. Clinical Outcomes of Surgical Therapy Study Group. Comparison of laparoscopically assisted and open colectomy for colon cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2004; 350: 2050-9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa032651. PMID: 15141043.
10. Parisi A, Reim D, Borghi F, Nguyen NT, Qi F, Coratti A et al. Minimally invasive surgery for gastric cancer: A comparison between robotic, laparoscopic and open surgery. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2017; 23: 2376-84. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i13.2376. PMID: 28428717; PMCID: PMC5385404.
11. Dunker MS, Ten Hove T, Bemelman WA, Slors JF, Gouma DJ. Interleukin-6, C-reactive protein, and expression of human leukocyte antigen-DR on peripheral blood mononuclear cells in patients after laparoscopic vs. conventional bowel resection: a randomized study. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2003; 46: 1238-44.
12. Ozawa A, Konishi F, Nagai H, Okada M, Kanazawa K. Cytokine and hormonal responses in laparoscopic-assisted colectomy and conventional open colectomy. *Surg Today*. 2003; 30: 107-11.
13. Kashihara H, Shimada M, Kurita N, Iwata T, Nishioka M, Morimoto S et al. Comparisons of inflammatory cytokines expressions in drain after laparoscopic versus open surgery. *Hepatogastroenterology*. 2014; 61: 379-81. PMID: 24901144.
14. Ramanathan ML, MacKay G, Platt J, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. The impact of open versus laparoscopic resection for colon cancer on C-reactive protein concentrations as a predictor of postoperative infective complications. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2015; 22: 938-43.
15. Bos V J, Jongen, ACHM., Melenhorst, J. Near-infrared fluorescence image-guidance in anastomotic colorectal cancer surgery and its relation to serum markers of anastomotic leakage: a clinical pilot study. *Surg Endosc*. 2019; 33: 3766-774. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-019-06673-6>.
16. Straatman J, Cuesta MA, Tuynman JB, Veenhof AAFA, Bemelman WA, van der Peet DL et al. C-reactive protein in predicting major postoperative complications are their differences in open and minimally invasive colorectal surgery? Substudy from a randomized clinical trial. *Surg Endosc*. 2018; 32: 2877-2885. doi: 10.1007/s00464-017-5996-9. Epub 2017 Dec 27. PMID: 29282574; PMCID: PMC5956066.
17. Han SA, Lee WY, Park CM. Comparison of immunologic outcomes of laparoscopic vs open approaches in clinical stage III colorectal cancer. *Int J Colorectal Dis*. 2010; 25: 631-8.
18. McDermott FD, Heeney A, Kelly ME. Systematic review of preoperative, intraoperative and postoperative risk factors for colorectal anastomotic leaks. *Br J Surg*. 2015; 102: 462-79.
19. Hyman N, Manchester TL, Osler T, Burns B, Cataldo PA, "Anastomotic leaks after intestinal anastomosis: it's later than you think," *Annals of Surgery*. 2007; 245: 254-8.
20. Alves Y, Panis M, Pocard, J.-M. Regimbeau, P. Valleur, "Management of anastomotic leakage after nondiverted largebowel resection," *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*. 1999; 189: 554-9.
21. Makel JT " a, H. Kiviniemi, and S. Laitinen. "Risk factors for " anastomotic leakage after left-sided colorectal resection with rectal anastomosis," *Diseases of the Colon and Rectum*. 2003; 46: 653-60.
22. Shogan BD, Carlisle EM, AlverdyJC, Umanskiy K. "Do we really know why colorectal anastomoses leak?" *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*. 2013; 17: 1698-707.
23. Albanopoulos K, Alevizos L, Natoudi M. C-reactive protein, white blood cells, and neutrophils as early predictors of postoperative complications in patients undergoing laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy. *Surg Endosc*. 2013; 27: 864-71.
24. Warschkow R, Tarantino I, Folie P. C-reactive protein 2 days after laparoscopic gastric bypass surgery reliably indicates leaks and moderately predicts morbidity. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2012; 16: 1128-35.
25. Williams MR, McMeekin S, Wilson RJ. Predictive value of C-reactive protein for complications post-laparoscopic RouxEn-Y gastric bypass. *Obes Surg*. 2017; 27: 709-15
26. Mullen JT. Identifying candidates for early discharge after gastrectomy: "It's tough to make predictions, especially about the future". *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2017; 24: 8-10.
27. Warschkow R, Tarantino I, Ukegini K. Diagnostic study and meta-analysis of C-reactive protein as a predictor of postoperative inflammatory complications after gastroesophageal cancer surgery. *Langenbecks Arch Surg*. 2012; 397: 727-36.
28. Lee SE, Ryu KW, Nam BH. Technical feasibility and safety of laparoscopy-assisted total gastrectomy in gastric cancer: a comparative study with laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy. *J Surg Oncol*. 2009; 100: 392-5.
29. Shishido Y, Fujitani K, Yamamoto K, Hirao M, Tsujinaka T, Sekimoto M et al. C-reactive protein on postoperative day 3 as a predictor of infectious complications following gastric cancer resection. *Gastric Cancer*. 2016; 19: 293-301.
30. Wang G, Jiang Z, Zhao K, Li G, Liu F. Immunologic response after laparoscopic colon cancer operation within an enhanced recovery program. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2012; 16: 1379-8.
31. Maca J, Peteja M, Reimer P, Jor O, Sedenkova V. Surgical injury: comparing open surgery and laparoscopy by markers of tissue damage. *Ther Clin Risk Manag*. 2018; 30: 999-1006.
32. Wu FP, Sietses C, von Blomberg BM, van Leeuwen PA, Meijer S. Systemic and peritoneal inflammatory response after laparoscopic or conventional colon resection in cancer patients: a prospective, randomized trial. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2003; 46: 147-55.
33. Crema E, Ribeiro EN, Hial AM, Alves Junior JT, Pastore R. Evaluation of the response of cortisol, corticotropin and blood platelets kinetics after laparoscopic and open cholecystectomy. *Acta Cir Bras*. 2005; 20: 364-7.
34. Watt DG, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. Routine clinical markers of the magnitude of the systemic inflammatory response after elective operation: a systematic review. *Surgery*. 2015; 157: 362-80.
35. Watt DG, McSorley ST, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery: Which Components, If Any, Impact on The Systemic Inflammatory Response Following Colorectal Surgery?: A Systematic Review. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2015; 94: 1286.