

Heterotopic Pregnancy and Management: Case Report

Douraidi N*, Laaboub K, Tahri S and Zaki El Hanchi

Department of Gynecology-obstetrics and Endocrinology, Maternity Soussi, University Hospital Center IBN SINA, University Mohammed 5, Rabat, Morocco

*Corresponding author:

Douraidi Nada,
Department of Gynecology-obstetrics and
Endocrinology, Maternity Soussi, University
Hospital Center IBN SINA, University Mohammed
5, Rabat, Morocco

Received: 12 Aug 2024

Accepted: 04 Sep 2024

Published: 10 Sep 2024

J Short Name: JCM I

Copyright:

©2024 Douraidi N, This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and build upon your work non-commercially.

Keywords:

Heterotopic pregnancy; Methotrexate;
Ultrasonographically guided aspiration; Clomiphene

Citation:

Douraidi N, Heterotopic Pregnancy and Management: Case Report. J Clin Med Img. 2024; V8(1): 1-3

1. Abstract

In the last decade, heterotopic pregnancy incidence rised with the increased prevalence of ovulation induction and assisted reproductive technologies. In the first trimester, unrecognized heterotopic pregnancy can cause a life-threatening emergency. In this report, we describe a case of Heterotopic pregnancy and it's management.

2. Introduction

Heterotopic pregnancy is known as the simultaneous occurrence of intrauterine and ectopic pregnancy [1]. The diagnosis of heterotopic pregnancy is still one of the biggest challenges in modern gynecology, and often misdiagnosed. Especially, on account of the advancement of assisted reproductive technology [2,3]. The ultrasonound examination is considered to be essential and beneficial in establishing early diagnosis of heterotopic pregnancy [4]. A misleading diagnosis of heterotopic pregnancy can cause a life-threatening condition.

3. Case Report

Mrs MS, a 31 years old, gravida 2, para 1 , 1 alive child, 1 vaginal delivery, with a history of taking Clomiphene 50 mg per day from 1th day to the 5th day of cycle in the last three cycles prescribed by her gynecologist to regulate a dysovulation malfunctioning, no further investigation was made in this matter. There was no other significant past, obstetric, or surgical history. She was adressed by

her gynecologist to the gynecology ER departement for management of non-viable heterotopic pregnancy of 6-7 weeks. On examination, the patient was vitally stable. On her gynecological examination, the speculum examination finds minimal blackish bleeding from endo-uterine origin, absence of cervical-vaginal lesion. Transabdominal sonography supplemented by transvaginal sonography revealed a none viable intrauterine pregnancy of 7 weeks and a right adnexal non viable ectopic pregnancy of 6 weeks and 4 days with free intraperitoneal fluid. (Figure 1) The serum human chorionic gonadotropin level β -hCG was 3490 IU/L. The ultrasound combined with Doppler flow imaging and the high B-hCG were suggestive of a none viable heterotopic pregnancy. To preserved the fertility of the patient and after her consent we proposed an ultrasonographically guided aspiration for the none viable intra uterine pregnancy and medical treatment by methotrexate for the ectopic one. After evaluating the hematological, hepatic and renal function of the staff proposed a medical traitement by intramuscular methotrexate 70 UI/ L, the patient consent to follow up until the β -HCG level is negative and full explication of the side effects and risks of the treatment.

In the 28th day after methotrexate administration, the serum β -hCG level reached a negative level and treatment was tolerated by the patient with no side effects.



Figure 1: Heterotopic pregnancy with intrauterine pregnancy and a right adnexal ectopic pregnancy

4. Discussion

Heterotopic pregnancy is an intrauterine pregnancy and an ectopic pregnancy that occur simultaneously [1]. The first case of heterotopic pregnancy was described in 1708 the diagnosis was established during autopsy [5]. Its prevalence varies from 1 to 30 000 in a spontaneous cycle and around 1 in 100 in an assisted ones [2]. The most common risk factors for ectopic pregnancy include a history of ectopic pregnancy, adhesions, pelvic inflammatory disease, assisted reproduction techniques and ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome [6]. Also, for women covered by an assisted reproduction program there are additional factors, such as higher incidence of multiple ovulation, higher incidence of tubal malformation and technical factors in embryo transfer which may increase the risk for ectopic and heterotopic pregnancy [7]. In our case the patient had three cycle of ovulation induction clomiphene citrate which is known as hyperstimulation of the ovaries associated with increased rate of multiple pregnancy and ectopic pregnancy and also could be associated with a higher rate of heterotopic pregnancy [8]. The majority of cases are diagnosed in the first trimester. The symptoms of heterotopic pregnancy are heterogeneous, such as abdominal pain, vaginal bleeding with positive pregnancy test or completely asymptomatic and usually signs of the extrauterine pregnancy predominate. Early diagnosis of heterotopic pregnancy is challenging because of the detection of an intrauterine implanted embryo and raised beta-hCG can mask the need to scan the adnexa in an asymptomatic patient. A late diagnosis can cause serious morbidity such as life-threatening hemorrhage, and hypovolemic shock. The B-hCG levels are considered to be unhelpful for heterotopic pregnancy diagnosis. Usually the B-hCG levels are low in ectopic pregnancy owing to the reduced number of trophoblastic cells, but the B-hCG levels are often found to be normal or higher in heterotopic pregnancy due to the presence of an intrauterine gestation. There are three options for the treatment of heterotopic pregnancy: surgical treatment, medical management which could be combined in some cases and expectant management. The treatment option of heterotopic pregnancy should aim to terminate the extra-uterine gestation with minimal distress to the intrauterine

pregnancy and expected to continue normally if it's viable [9]. The choice of treatment depends upon the severity of symptoms, site of ectopic pregnancy, the viability of intrauterine pregnancy and the socioeconomic status of the patients. Success rates for delivering a live newborn was 66 %, while rest resulted in early or late miscarriages [10]. The surgical management is still the most frequently chosen method of treatment for the ectopic pregnancy. In most cases, it involves laparoscopic salpingectomy or a mini laparotomy and depends on the actual clinical condition. The medical treatment with methotrexate should be avoided, due to the risk of its teratogenicity on viable intra uterin pregnancy [11], but there are some reports that show a good therapeutic effect of methotrexate on none viable pregnancy [12]. While also preserving fertility [13]. Ultrasonographically guided aspiration is a less invasive method with good effectiveness if the intrauterine pregnancy is non-viable. In our case due to none viable intrauterin pregnancy and to preserve the fertility a less invasive treatment was proposed. Combining an ultrasonographically guided aspiration of the none viable intra uterine pregnancy and an injection with methotrexate to the ectopic part with positive results.

5. Conclusion

In the first trimester, the presence of an intra uterine pregnancy the scanning of the adnexal is mandatory to to eliminate a heterotopic pregnancy, especially with patient under ovulation induction treatment or assisted reproductive technology.

6. Conflict of Interest

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Channiss L, Tahle T, Sabouni R, Jamalih M. Heterotopic pregnancy with superfetation following ovarian stimulation: A case report. *Case Reports in Women's Health*. 2023; 40: e00562.
2. Tal J, Haddad S, Gordon N, Timor-Tritsch I. Heterotopic pregnancy after ovulation induction and assisted reproductive technologies: a literature review from 1971 to 1993. *Fertil Steril*. 1996; 66(1): 1-12.
3. Luo X, Lim CED, Huang C, Wu J, Wong WSF, Cheng NCL. Heterotopic pregnancy following in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer: 12 cases report. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2009; 280(2): 325-329.
4. Li XH, Ouyang Y, Lu GX. Value of transvaginal sonography in diagnosing heterotopic pregnancy after in-vitro fertilization with embryo transfer. *Ultrasound in Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2013; 41(5): 563-569.
5. Ea R, Rh P, Mf S, M F, Wd T. Combined intrauterine and extrauterine gestations: a review. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. 1983; 146(3).
6. Jeon JH, Hwang YI, Shin IH, Park CW, Yang KM, Kim HO. The Risk Factors and Pregnancy Outcomes of 48 Cases of Heterotopic Pregnancy from a Single Center. *J Korean Med Sci*. 2016; 31(7): 1094-1099.

7. Kirk E, Bottomley C, Bourne T. Diagnosing ectopic pregnancy and current concepts in the management of pregnancy of unknown location. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2014; 20(2): 250-261.
8. Glassner MJ, Aron E, Eskin BA. Ovulation induction with clomiphene and the rise in heterotopic pregnancies. A report of two cases. *J Reprod Med*. 1990; 35(2): 175-178.
9. Bornstein E, Berg R, Santos R, Monteagudo A, Timor-Tritsch IE. Term singleton pregnancy after conservative management of a complicated triplet gestation including a heterotopic conual monochorionic twin pair. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2011; 30(6): 865-867.
10. Refaat B, Dalton E, Ledger WL. Ectopic pregnancy secondary to in vitro fertilisation-embryo transfer: pathogenic mechanisms and management strategies. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol*. 2015; 13: 30.
11. Li JB, Kong LZ, Yang JB, et al. Management of Heterotopic Pregnancy: Experience From 1 Tertiary Medical Center. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2016; 95(5): e2570.
12. Deka D, Bahadur A, Singh A, Malhotra N. Successful management of heterotopic pregnancy after fetal reduction using potassium chloride and methotrexate. *J Hum Reprod Sci*. 2012; 5(1): 57.
13. Ory SJ, Villanueva AL, Sand PK, Tamura RK. Conservative treatment of ectopic pregnancy with methotrexate. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 1986; 154(6): 1299-1306.